

Applicant continues to assert allowability of non-elected Claims 4, 5, 6, 7 and 8 for purposes of possible further prosecution in a divisional, continuation and/or continuation-in-part Application.

Applicant does not traverse the Restriction.

No change of inventorship is made necessary by the Election.

REMARKS

Comments of Examining Attorney Alicia CHEVALIER had been reviewed carefully in conjunction with pertinent sections of the Patent Act, Patent Rules, Manual of Patent Examining Procedure, legal treatise and relevant decisional law. Favorable reconsideration of the Application with the elected claims is solicited earnestly.

Restriction to one of the following sets of claims was required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

Species I. Claims 1-3 drawn to a grip belt.

Species II. Claims 4-8 drawn to a method of making a grip belt.

Applicant elected Species I, on which Claims 1, 2 and 3 read. Applicant does not traverse the Restriction. However, Applicant continues to assert allowability of non-elected Claims 4-8 for purposes of further prosecution in a divisional, continuation, continuation-in-part Application or otherwise. No change of inventorship is made necessary by the election.

It is believed that the foregoing is a full and fair Election in response to the Restriction. If anything further hereon is required, please telephone Applicant's United States Attorney, Charles E. Baxley. Contact information is provided below.

Courtesy, cooperation and skill of Examiner Alicia CHEVALIER are acknowledged and appreciated.

Dated: New York, New York
July 22, 2005

Respectfully,

HART BAXLEY DANIELS & HOLTON

By: Charles E. Baxley

Charles E. Baxley
Attorney of Record
USPTO Reg. 20,149
90 John Street, 3rd Floor
New York, New York 10038
Telephone: (212) 791-7200
Facsimile: (212) 791-7276
E-mail: ceb@hartbaxley.com

CEB:rmm/14103 B